Approved For Relese 1999/09/26 : CIA-RDP78-06 A000100110004-1

16 August 1960

MEMORIABILM FOR: Deputy Director for Eupport

EUNECT : Inspector General's Eurvey of the Office of Medical

Services, July 1963

REFERENCE: Your memorandum, dated 23 July 1963, subject as above

As requested during our discussion 13 August, the position of the Office of Medical Services on the recommendations of the subject report is outlined below.

Recogning relation No. 1

Medical Officer engaged in the development of this concept. He is working closely with and is well received by CEA, and DO. It is our opinion that his efforts would also be helpful to as perhaps the greatest potential customer for his services. This Medical Officer is unslotted and is corried in our Development Complement. For the proper formal designation intended by the IC recommendation, a position should be provided for this officer and we do accordingly request such.

25X1A 25X1A

b. Concur provided a position for the flight surgeon is saids available.

Recommendation No. 2

Concur. This has been discussed with the UD/SA who is in agreement.

Recommendation No. 3

Our present practices for professional staffing have evolved over a period of almost two decades. The problems in recruiting, utilizing and retaining a scarce category of professional personnal such as physicians have not been minor. These basic problems are probably greater because of the relative small number of individuals involved: the sudden change in the availability or personal disposition of one physician can, for example, require a chain of personnal adjustments. The niceties of conventional personnal planning and practices must often be slightly compromised to assure essential staffing continuity. We shall, however, continue our efforts to obtain the appropriate orientation training and uniformity of personnal practices for this unique group of personnal.)

Approved For Release 1999/09/26: CIA-RDP78-06180A000100110004-1

Approved For Release 1999/09/26 : CIA-RDP78-06180 000100110004-1

T: Inspector General's Survey of the Office of Medical Services,
July 1963

- a. Concur. We shall plan to discuss with each contract hadical Officer at least one per before expiration of his contract the plans for his subsequent utilization -- to the extent such plans can be known at the time.
- b. Concur. We shall consult with the Director of Training and seek to expend the or entation Medical Officers receive on SOD and upon return from recreases assignment. We must, however, invite attention once ago in to the exigencies that are tobs expected in working with a small number of highly trained professional personnel.
- c. Concur. We shall request the assistance of the Director of Personnel in reviewing the existing contracts with professional medical personnel and securing the greatest uniformity possible.

Recommendation No. 4

Concur. Punel C of our Career Service is being requested to review the current assignments of Medical Technicians and Medical Service Officers. It is a fact, as indicated in the IG report, that increasing sophistication and more complicated diagnostic procedures in our clinical function have required longer tempos than proviously. We shall strive for a happy medium.

Recommendation No. 5

The present errangement vivireby we use medical correction in the positions of Personnel Officer (Position Mumber 0035) and Administrative Officer (Position Number 0090) of the Office of Medical Services has also evolved over nearly two decades. We have found it to be the best arrangement for our needs. We are convinced that our Personnel Officer functions better with a medical background. The Administrative Officer position is not purely budget and/or finance but involves the OdS services function which requires femiliarity with medicul prectices and equipment. Perhaps of equal importance is the fact that these positions represent more senior SD:SI positions to which the lower-graded Medical Technicians may aspire for career development. They are in this sense quite vital to our career progress. Although these positions have always been filled competently and effectively by and personnel and we should prefer to continue this, we shall be happy to discuss this recommendation further.

Approved For Release 1999/09/26: CIA-RDP78-06100A000100110004-1

SUBJECT: Inspector General's Survey of the Office of Medical Services, July 1903

Recommendation No. 6

a. Concur in principle. When the Selection Processing Center (SPC) was activated in October 1967 it was our intent that there would eventually be an organizational integration of all medical selection activities in the Rosslyn area. This remains our plan. It should be noted, however, that at present we not only do not have the appropriate staff positions for the EPC, we do not have funds to pay the FY 1969 salaries of the full-time contract personnel who are working in the SPC. It would seem that provision of the necessary positions would precede the establishment of any Selection Processing Division.

b and c. Whereas it is our intent that the C/EPC will eventually be responsible for all applicant screening, we believe that there may remain a role for Chief, Psychiatric Staff, for example, in the event the EPC recommends disqualification for psychiatric ressons. The experience of the C/PS in such matters is in our judgment too valuable to ignore. In our therefore, Recommendations 6b and c in our opinion require additional study.

Recommendation No. 7

a. We presently have a study under way on the mission, functions and organization of the CMS. We are therefore not propored at this time to say that the Psychiatric Staff and Clinical Division should be combined into a Hedical Services Division. Certainly, this is one possibility. Other possibilities, however, would combine:

Clinical Division and Selection Processing Center Psychiatric Staff and Assessment and Evaluation Staff

Accordingly, we suggest that any specific re-organizational action be deferred until completion of our study.

b. The position of Special Assistant for Clinical Activities is also included in our present organizational study. It should be stated, however, that the EA/CA has proven extremely helpful to the D/MS, and whereas the functions of the EA/CA can perhaps be performed elsewhere, we suggest that action in this matter be deferred pending the results of our study.

Recommendation No. 8

Concur. We shall work on this. We also believe the Counselling Function that we have proposed for consideration of Agency management would be helpful in this area.

Approved For Release 1999/09/26: CIA-RDP78-06180A000100110004-1

Approved For Release 1999/09/26 : CIA-RDP78-06180A000100110004-1

SUBJECT: Inspector General's Eurwey of the Office of Medical Services, July 1968

Recommendation No. 9

- a. The intent of this recommendation is good but we should prefer to avoid the operative element that this recommendation implies and rely on our own professional persuasive capabilities to insure currency of physical exeminations. It is also a fact of life that we are normally confronted with the reverse problem: more employees want exeminations than we can satisfy.
- b. We shall take action to improve this, but in our judgment the responsibility should be that of the employee to provide evidence of corrective action rather than the QAS "policing" him. In some cases the role of the QAS must necessarily stop at recommendation.

Recommondation No. 10

Concur. We shall confer with the Director of Personnel to reduce the processing time for medical disability retirement cases. In such cases under the Agency's program we have been moving very deliberately as befits a new program. It is to be noted, however, that under this program the time required for a case represents the medical adjudication process as well as the actual exemination. This would normally require more Agency time than a case that is worked up in the Agency but sent to the Civil Service Commission for adjudication.

Recommendation No. 11

Concur. We are certainly interested in beeping the Office of Personnel informed concerning employee energencies, and will discuss more effective procedures with that office. We shall also include the Office of Security in this discussion.

Recommendation No. 12

Concur. We shall request that the regulation be smended. This is in fact our operating policy — as the IC report itself states. In view, however, of the predictable occasions when for valid ressons it will be manifestly improviousle to require a medical emmanation by an Agency Medical Officer, we feel that the regulation should not establish an absolute requirement, but should set forth the requirement that will apply wherever feasible.

Approved For Release 1999/09/26 : CIA-RDP78-061 A000100110004-1

SUBJECT: Inspector General's Survey of the Office of Medical Bervices, July 1966

Recommendation No. 13

It is our judgment that the present regulatory provision for determining geographic areas requiring dependent physical examinations is adequate, and that the deficiency pointed out by the IO report can best be corrected by updating and broping current the system provided for in the present regulation. We should like to continue to have the advice and assistance of the area divisions in determining those areas requiring dependent examinations and plan to work with them to bring our lists up to date.

Recommendation No. 14

- a. In our opinion a one-time assessment by one individual of ONE operational support projects would have much to be desired. As an alternative we would propose an errangement for a continuing assessment by a broader representation from the Claudestine Services, specifically, we suggest that:
 - (1) A senior OF official attend DD/P staff meetings whenever appropriate;
 - (2) A senior Clambestine Services officer be detailed for duty with the Operations Division of the CAN; and
 - (3) A panel of senior representatives from the FI, CI and CA Staffs, TSD and the CHS be established for a continuing review and guidence to the CHS on its operational support projects.
- b. We believe that the recommendation that the DD/I make a similar assessment of GMS intelligence production work should be considered together with the statement in the IO report that immediately precedes Recommendation No. 14. This statement rends as follows:

